

REMARKS


Applicants respectfully express their appreciation to the Examiner for the allowance of claims 1 – 3, 5 – 15 and 18 – 20.

In the Office Action the Examiner has objected to claim 16 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 6. Claim 16 has been canceled.

In the Office Action the Examiner rejected claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. §112. The Examiner explained that since claim 15 already sets forth an inward ridge, by claiming a “pronounced” ridge, Applicants are in effect claiming two inward ridges in the grip recess. Applicant has amended claim 17 to recite the inward ridge is pronounced having an acute angle at most 40°. Applicants submit that the amendments to claim 17 resolve the Examiner’s rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. A favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

EMCH, SCHAFFER, SCHAUB
& PORCELLO CO., L.P.A.



James F. Porcello, Jr.
Reg. No. 29,425

P.O. Box 916
Toledo, Ohio 43697
Ph: (419) 243-1294
Fax (419) 243-8502